

INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION 280E: CREATING AN IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION FOR LEGITIMATE BUSINESSES

What is Section 280E?

Section 280E of the Internal Revenue Code forbids businesses from deducting otherwise ordinary business expenses from gross income associated with the "trafficking" of Schedule I or II substances, as defined by the Controlled Substances Act. The IRS has subsequently applied Section 280E to state-legal cannabis businesses, since cannabis is still a Schedule I substance.

A throwback from the Reagan Administration, Section 280E originated from a 1981 court case in which a convicted cocaine trafficker asserted his right under federal tax law to deduct ordinary business expenses. In 1982, Congress created 280E to prevent other drug dealers from following suit. It states that no deductions should be allowed on any amount "in carrying on any trade or business if such trade or business consists of trafficking in controlled substances."

With 23 states and the District of Columbia now allowing some form of legal marijuana, 280E is applied to state-regulated cannabis businesses more often than it is to the types of illegal drug dealers that the provision was intended to penalize.

How does Section 280E hurt state-legal cannabis businesses?

Federal income taxes are based on a fairly simple formula: start with gross income, subtract business expenses to calculate taxable income, and then pay taxes on this amount. Owners of regular businesses often derive profits from these business deductions.

"I'm taxed on nearly double the amount that my business actually makes."

Cannabis businesses, however, pay taxes on gross income. These businesses often pay tax rates that are 70% or higher. As John Davis, owner of the Northwest Patient Resource Center in Seattle, WA states, "I'm taxed on nearly double the amount that my business actually makes."

– John Davis, Northwest Patient Resource Center

Below is a simplified model that illustrates the tax structure for cannabis businesses compared to a normal businesses. In this scenario, the normal business's taxable income is \$150,000, while the cannabis business is taxed on \$350,000, despite having the same costs and expenses.

	Non-Cannabis Business	Cannabis Business
Gross Revenue	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
Cost of Goods Sold	\$650,000	\$650,000
Gross Income	\$350,000	\$350,000
Deductible Business Expenses	\$200,000	\$0
Taxable Income	\$150,000	\$350,000
Tax (30%)	\$45,000	\$105,000
Effective Tax Rate	30%	70%

The taxation problem facing cannabis businesses just got worse.

"There are a lot of businesses that are going to get absolutely creamed."

– Jim Marty, Bridge West CPAs In January 2015, the Internal Revenue Service issued an internal memorandum that opined on how state-legal cannabis businesses should compute federal income taxes. Drafted by the IRS Chief Counsel, the memo rejects many of the tax deductions that these businesses have traditionally made. The memo challenges tax strategies that allow these businesses to stay afloat, and imposes a strict interpretation of Section 280E of the Internal Revenue Code. Without even the meager deductions state-legal cannabis businesses were previously allowed to take, these businesses face a bleak financial future. This deeply affects their ability to reinvest profits

back into their local communities and fulfill the will of state voters, legislatures, and regulatory bodies that have mandated that cannabis be dispensed through legal storefronts.

Jim Marty, a CPA with Colorado's Bridge West CPAs, says that many marijuana businesses are going to struggle under the new rules. "There are a lot of businesses that are going to get absolutely creamed," he says.

What types of business expenses are scrutinized under 280E?

- Employee salaries
- Utility costs such as electricity, internet and telephone service
- Health insurance premiums
- Marketing and advertising costs
- Repairs and maintenance
- Rental fees for facilities
- Routine repair and maintenance
- Payments to contractors

State-legal cannabis businesses are allowed to deduct the Cost of Goods Sold (COGS) on their taxes. Also, since 2007, cannabis businesses have made deductions on their non-cannabis business activities. Most importantly, however, cannabis businesses have also followed guidance from section 263A of the IRC, which allows businesses to capitalize on indirect costs — such as administrative and inventory costs, as well as the amount paid in state excise taxes — and deduct them under COGS.

Depending on the business, these indirect costs can be sizable. The strategy of including these costs in COGS is oftentimes what allows cannabis businesses to collect meager profits.

What deductions are now likely to be challenged?

- General and administrative costs (bookkeeping, legal expenses, technology costs)
- State excise taxes
- Storage of cannabis
- · Purchasing cannabis
- Depreciation of cannabis

How did these deductions benefit cannabis businesses?

Attached below are three documents that illustrate how 280E has applied to typical cannabis businesses both before and after the tightening of IRS rules.

Figure 1 is a 1065 tax filing from 2013 from a medical cannabis business in Denver, Colorado. Gross receipts totaled \$776,772, and thanks to some strong work by a CPA, the business was able to deduct \$435,819 in Cost of Goods Sold, leaving a gross income of \$340,953. The business had an additional \$153,806 in deductions that any other business would be able to take, but were disallowed under 280E. Since the business was allowed deductions on Cost of Goods Sold, it paid taxes on \$340,953 instead of on \$776,772. Its effective tax rate was still 55% of its final earnings, but the business owner was able to invest the remaining cash back into the business.

Without these deductions, what does a final tax bill look like for a state-authorized cannabis business?

Figure 2 is a 1065 tax filing from 2014 from a medical cannabis dispensary in Seattle, Washington. The business followed the new, even stricter 280E rules in its filing. As the documents show, gross income from this business totaled \$154,469 for 2014, and the business had \$101,100 in expenses. If this business were a regular business, it would be taxed on its earnings, which were \$53,369. In order to comply with 280E, however, the business was unable to take these deductions, and instead it paid taxes on \$154,469. The business' tax payment totaled \$46,340, which equates to 87% of its true earnings. The business owner had only \$7,029 to either invest back into his business or keep as profit.

What's the worst-case scenario for a business under 280E?

Figure 3 is a 1065 and Statement of Activities and Changes In Net Assets from a medical marijuana dispensary in Arizona. The business produced \$876,420 in gross receipts and was permitted to deduct \$319,386 in Cost of Goods Sold, but could take no deductions for its other expenses. The business, thus, was taxed on its gross income, which was \$557,034.

Like many startup businesses, however, the dispensary had significant first-year expenses, which totaled \$867,863. These operating expenses were non-deductible under 280E. So while the business actually lost a total \$310,829 for the year, its tax bill was still \$189,781. Despite bringing in \$876,420, the business ended up more than half a million dollars in the red.

Mitch Woolheiser, owner of Northern Lights Cannabis in Edgewater, Colorado, said he's "hoarding cash" in preparation for his tax payment. "I can't give my employees raises. I can't put money back into my business. Instead I've been hoarding cash in anticipation of what the IRS is going to take," Woolheiser says.

"I can't give my employees raises. I can't put money back into my business. Instead I've been hoarding cash in anticipation of what the IRS is going to take."

– Mitch Woolheiser, Northern Lights Cannabis

How many state economies are affected by 280E?

Section 280E affects all businesses that engage in the cultivation, sale, or processing of the cannabis plant. This includes cultivators, medical dispensaries, marijuana retail stores, and infused product manufacturers, as well as concentrates and cannabis oil manufacturers.

Cannabis is now legal in some form in 23 U.S. states as well as the District of Columbia, with Oregon and Alaska joining Colorado and Washington as states with laws regulating marijuana sales to adults over 21. Several other states are expected to enact similar laws regulating marijuana in 2016.

What impact does this have on the cannabis industry and states attempting to regulate marijuana?

"Section 280E de-incentivizes people from filing tax returns. It penalizes people who are trying to be transparent and operate within the law."

– Henry Wykowski, Henry G. Wykowski & Associates Cannabis entrepreneurs want to pay federal and state taxes. Maintaining a strong working relationship with the IRS legitimizes these businesses and, in turn, the entire cannabis industry. But the current taxation climate has convinced some cannabis entrepreneurs to either ignore 280E on their tax filings, or forego paying taxes altogether. These businesses would rather gamble on the IRS overlooking their filing than see their revenues evaporate due to 280E.

As Henry Wykowski, a California attorney who works with marijuana clients on tax issues, states, "Section 280E de-incentivizes people from filing tax returns. It penalizes people who are trying to be transparent and operate within the law."

We believe the most recent IRS memo will force even more cannabis businesses to sidestep the IRS. This will undoubtedly lead to long and expensive audits, as well as lawsuits that will challenge the IRS memo and 280E as a whole.

How can this problem be resolved?

The National Cannabis Industry Association believes that the best fix to the situation is to remove marijuana from the Controlled Substances Act. However, The Small Business Tax Equity Act – companion Senate and House legislation introduced in the 114th Congress by Sen. Ron Wyden (D-OR) and Rep. Earl Blumenauer (D-OR) – would more narrowly address the unfair impact 280E has on states with regulated cannabis markets without necessitating a sweeping shift in U.S. marijuana policy.

"The intent of the law was to go after criminals, not law-abiding job creators. Congress needs to step up and clarify that this provision has become a case study in unintended consequences."

– Grover Norquist, Americans for Tax Reform

The legislation – S. 987 and H.R. 1855 – would exempt cannabis businesses acting in compliance with state law from the 280E provision, thereby allowing them to take the ordinary business deductions afforded to all other legal businesses.

In his endorsement of The Small Business Tax Equity Act, Americans for Tax Reform President Grover Norquist said, "The intent of the law was to go after criminals, not law abiding job creators. Congress needs to step up and clarify that this provision has become a case study in unintended consequences."

This sensible solution would bring this outdated provision of the tax code into the modern era and allow cannabis businesses to operate in accordance with the will of state voters and legislative bodies who have mandated that these businesses work within a legitimate, above-ground environment. Amending 280E will also allow businesses in the emerging cannabis industry to better invest in their local communities by hiring additional employees and offering more competitive salaries rather than sending inordinate amounts of revenue into the federal tax coffers.

This document does not constitute legal or tax advice. National Cannabis Industry Association, April 2015

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks to the industry experts whose contributions of time, insight, and materials made this report possible:

Hilary Bricken, <u>Harris Moure</u> Erik Briones, <u>Minerva Canna Group</u> Jordan Cornelius, <u>Cornelius CPAs</u>

John B. Davis, Northwest Patient Resource Center

Darin Guthrie, <u>Darin Guthrie CPA</u> James Hunt, <u>James G. Hunt PLLC</u> Jim Marty, <u>Bridge West CPAs</u>

James Thorburn and Rich Walker, **Thorburn-Walker**

Matthew Walstatter, <u>Pure Green Cannabis</u>
Mitch and Eva Woolheiser, <u>Northern Lights</u>

Henry Wykowski, Henry G. Wykowski and Associates

Luigi Zamarra, Luigi CPA

Form 1065		5	U.S. Return of Partnership Income For calendar year 2013, or tax year beginning , 2013,				OMB No. 1545-0099							
Department of the Treasury				ending	, 20	•		2013						
	A Principal business activity			Name of partnersh	1065 and its separate	instructions	s at www.irs.g	jov/form1065	10	Faralassa	14			
A Fina	apar buasa	Das activity								number	identification			
						1								
B Princ	ipal produ	ict or service	Type	Number, street, an	d room or suite number. If a P.O.	box, see the instr	uctions.		E Date business started			-		
			Print											
C Busi	ness code	number		City or town, state	or province, country, and ZIP or f	oreign postal codo				Total assol	ts (see instrs)			
			(4)		(a) [Te :					\$ 260,244.				
G Che	eck appl	licable boxes:	(1)	Initial return	(2) Final return (nation — also check (1) or (2)	3) Name o	hange (4)	Address chang	e (5) [] Ar	mended return	1		
H Chr	ark arm	ounting method	(6) i: (1)	X Cash		3) Other	(specify) >							
			200		son who was a partner at	<u></u>								
				i Blandi e redistrare e e e e			Name of the Control o					רֿד		
	-				penses on lines 1a throu				infori	mation				
	1									77540711				
	1a 0	Gross receipts	or sales .				1 a	776,772.						
	1000						1 b						read House	
				from line 1a .			* * * * • • •		1 c				Gross	
	1 mm 4								2				COG5	0
N.									3		340,9	53.	Taxable	ישחיין
N C O M E	E 1000 E				rships, estates, and trusts				4					
E	1 3		Market St.		(Form 1040))				5					
	6 1	Net gain (loss)	from Form	4797, Part II, li	ne 17 (attach Form 4797))			6					
	7 0	Other income (loss)									-		
	1 0	attach stateme	(30)						7					
	-				rough 7				8		340,9			
-				다 보기를 통하는 것이 없었다. (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	rs) (less employment cre-	there was a market of the			9	-	85,0	04.		
S		Repairs and ma	E.						11		6,6	20		
E		Bad debts							12		0,0.	30.		
N S		Rent							13		8,3	25.		
O T R S	14 7	Taxes and licer	nses						14					
U									15					
CFOR	1		119		62)	_		8,287.	40		1967 1961	-		
N L	1				5-A and elsewhere on retepletion.)			0.	16c		8,2	87.		
5 1 M	1 255 18			100					18					
Ť	19 E	Employee bene	efit progran	ns					19					
Î		Other deductio						* CTMT						
O N S). (attach stateme	ent)					*. ŞTMT	20		-108,2	54.		
S	21 7	Fotal deduction	ns Add th	ne amounts sho	wn in the far right column	for lines 9 th	rough 20		21			0.		
200	-				ract line 21 from line 8				22		340,9	53.		
	A	Under penalties	of pegury, I do	clare that I have exa	mined this return, including according to the return of th	mpanying schedul	es and statements, a	and to the best of a	my knov	vledge and	belief, it is	-		
Sign		preparer has any	knowledge.	E-spots	Carrotte Berger		,	, , , , , ,						
Here				N		with th	e preparer	cuss this return shown below						
		Signature o	f general parti	ner or limited hability	company member manager		Date		(see in	nstrs)?	X	No		
		Print/Type prepa	rer's name		Preparer's signature		Date	Check X	if	PTIN				
Paid		Jordan (Corneli	us	Jordan Cornel:	ius	12/31/14	self-emplo	yed	(B) (A)	I AURI			
Prepa							Firm's EIN							
Use (Only	Firm's address	-	00 E Gira	rd Ave, Ste A-1	100 A		4			Min Descrip			
BAA I	or Pan	erwork Reduc	Den tion Act N		arate instructions.	CO 80	231 PTPA0112 12/	Phone no. 19/13	(30		5-4077 orm 1065 (2	2013)		

Form 1065 Page 1, Line 20

Other Deductions Worksheet

► Keep for your records

2013

ne	as Shown on Return	Emple	oyer Identification No.	
				_
	Accounting	1		-
	Advertising	2	33,915.	
	Amortization	3		
	Automobile and truck expense	4	285.	
	Bank charges	5	433.	
	Cleaning	6	1001	
	Commissions	7		
		8	1,814.	
	Computer services and supplies	9	1,014.	
	Credit and collection costs	10		
	Delivery and freight	11		
	Discounts	12	A	
	Dues and subscriptions	2.22	3,763.	
	Equipment rent.	13	3,703.	
		1	7 000	
	Insurance	15	1,933.	
	Janitorial	17		
	Laundry and cleaning	1		
	Legal and professional	18		
a	Meals and entertainment, subject to 50% limit 19 a	-	1. 区类是	
b	Meals and entertainment, subject to 80% limit b	-		
C	Meals and entertainment, allowed at 100%	-		
d	Less disallowed d	-		
e	Meals and entertainment, net	19 e	447.	
	Miscellaneous	20		
	Office expense	21		
	Outside services	22	3,833.	
	Parking fees and tolls	23		
	Permits and fees	24		
	Postage	25	98.	
	Printing	26		
	Security	27	10 105	
	Supplies	28	13,195.	
	Telephone	29	4,497.	
	Tools	30		
	Training/continuing education	31		
	Travel	32		
	Uniforms	33	1 027	
	Utilities	34	1,014.	
	Other (itemize):	35		
	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	-	6,565.	
	SOFTWARE	-		
	CASH SHORT/OVER	-	764.	
	MERCHANT ACCOUNT FEES	-1	12,181.	
	263A ADJUSTMENT TO COGS	-	-39,185.	Non-Deductil
	280E NON-DEDUCTIBLE EXPENSES		-103,806.	IVON- DEDUCTIE
		-		
		-		
		-		
		-		
		-		
		-		
		-1	-108,254.	

	March 27, 201
RE:	
Schedule K-1 from Partnersh	hip's 2014 Return of Income
Dear	
Credits, Etc. from complete your income tax re tax items to be reported on y have received during the year	the K-1 (Form 1065) Partner's Share of Income, Deductions, This information reflects the amounts you need to eturn. The amounts shown are your distributive share of partnership your tax return, and may not correspond to actual distributions you ar. This information is included in the Partnership's 2014 Federal ne that was filed with the Internal Revenue Service.
This is the final year that result, this will be the last So	will file a Return of Partnership Income. As a chedule K-1 (Form 1065) you will receive from the partnership.
If you have any questions co	oncerning this information, please contact us immediately.
Sincerely,	
Enclosure(s)	

		X	Final K-1	Amended	K-1	단 네 네 스 러 OMB No. 1545-0123
	edule K-1 2014 n 1065) For calendar year 2014, or tax	P	art III Partner	r's Share of C	urre, an	ent Year Income, d Other Items
artr	ment of the Treasury year beginning 2014 Revenue Service ending .	1	Ordinary busines	ss income (loss) 101,100.	15	Credits
ar	tner's Share of Income, Deductions,	2	Net rental real estate	income (loss)		
	dits, etc. > See separate instructions.	3	Other net rental	income (loss)	16	Foreign transactions
4100	Partnership's employer identification number	4	Guaranteed pay	ments 53,369.		
	Partnership's name, address, city, state, and ZIP code	5	Interest income		Y	What was
	railleisiips hane, addess, city, state, and zii code	6a	Ordinary dividen	2.	1	earned
	Seattle, WA 98126	6 b	Qualified divider	nds		
:]	IRS Center where partnership filed return e-file	7	Royalties			
)	Check if this is a publicly traded partnership (PTP)	8	Net short-term capital	al gain (loss)		
Pa	art II Information About the Partner	9 a	Net long-term ca	apital gain (loss)	17 A	Alternative minimum tax (AMT) items -283.
	Partner's identifying number	9 b	Collectibles (289	%) gain (loss)	II.	
	Partner's name, address, city, state, and ZIP code	90	Unrecaptured se	ection 1250 gain		
		10	Net section 123	gain (loss)	18	Tax-exempt income and nondeductible expenses
100	Bellevue, WA 98004	11	Other income (le	oss)	C	21,734.
	X General partner or LLC Limited partner or other member-manager	er	ļ			
	X Domestic partner					
	What type of entity is this partner? Individual		1			
	If this partner is a retirement plan (IRA/SEP/Keogh/etc.), check here.	12	Section 179 ded	luction	19	Distributions
	Partner's share of profit, loss, and capital (see instructions):	-		5,434.		
	Beginning Ending	13	Other deduction		20	Other information
	Profit 51 % 51 Loss 51 % 51		+	661.	20	Other information
12	Loss 51 % 51 Capital 51 % 51	-			A	2.
	Partner's share of liabilities at year end:		1		-	1
	Nonrecourse\$				L	
	Qualified nonrecourse financing\$	14	Self-employmen			
	Recourse	8. A	l	154,469.		ļ
				-	-	
	Partner's capital account analysis:		1		1	Amount taxed on
	Beginning capital account\$ 39,21	.3. *S	ee attached st	tatement for a	9	
	Capital contributed during the year \$	F .		- (30% is \$46,340.07
	Current year increase (decrease)\$ 73,27	73. R		- (Amount to live on
	Withdrawals & distributions \$	CB			1	would be \$7,029.00
	Ending capital account \$ 112,48				-	
	X Tax basis GAAP Section 704(b) boo Other (explain)	k u s E				
	Did the partner contribute property with a built-in gain or loss	? O				
M	에 보고 있다면 보고 있다면 보고 있는데 보고 있다. 전에 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있다면 보고 있다. 그런데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있다. 그런데 보고 있는데 보고 되었다고 있는데 보고 있	1 54				
M	Yes X No If 'Yes', attach statement (see instructions)	L				

m	11:	20 U.S. Corporation Income Tax Return			OMB No. 1545-012
		For calendar year 2013 or tax year beginning , ending			1 22(0)13
Name (A)	Revenu	e Service Information about Form 1120 and its separate instructions is at www.irs.gov/form			
		ed return	B	Employer id	dentification number
		m 851)	1		
		consoli- TYPE	C	Date incorpo	orated
-		on DR	4		
		olding co. PRINT	-	T. ()	
		ervice corp. Foreign country name Foreign province/state/county Foreign postal code	- D	Lotal assets	(see instructions)
		ctions)	s		1,406,508
Co	shadula !	A-3 attached E Check if: (1) X Initial return (2) Final return (3) Name change (4)	-		
T			mond	ress change	1
			76,420	4	
1		Returns and allowance			
		Balance. Subtract line 1b from line 1a		- Deliver of the last	876,420
1		Cost of goods sold (attach Form 1125-A)			319,386
1		Gross profit. Subtract line 2 from line 1c			557,034
		Dividends (Schedule C, line 19)			
		Interest			
1	6	Gross rents			
1	7	Gross royalties		7	
-	8	Capital gain net income (attach Schedule D (Form 1120))		. 8	
	9	Net gain or (loss) from Form 4797, Part II, line 17 (attach Form 4797)		. 9	
1	10	Other income (see instructions—attach statement)		10	
1	11	Total income. Add lines 3 through 10		▶ 11	557,034
1	12	Compensation of officers (see instructions—attach Form 1125-E)	2 2 2	▶ 12	
1	13	Salaries and wages (less employment credits)			
1	14	Repairs and maintenance			
1	15	Bad debts		15	
1	16	Rents	3 3 3	. 16	
1	17	Taxes and licenses	* *		-
	18	Interest		-	
1	19	Charitable contributions			
1	20	Depreciation from Form 4562 not claimed on Form 1125-A or elsewhere on return (attach Form 4562)		-	The second secon
	7 5 5 5	사용 발발한 사람이 있는 사람들은 사람들은 보다가 보다가 되었다면 보다가 되었다. 그리네는 그렇게 함께 보는 사람들이 없는 사람들이 되었다면 보다가 되었다면 보다 그렇게 되었다면 보다가 되었다면 보다 그렇게 되었다면 보다 그렇게 되었다면 보다 그렇게 되었다면 보다 되	3 3	. 20	-
	21	Depletion		. 21	The second secon
	22	Advertising		-	
	23	Pension, profit-sharing, etc., plans			
	24	Employee benefit programs		-	
1	25	Domestic production activities deduction (attach Form 8903)			
-	26	Other deductions (attach statement)	(e-p)	. 26	
	27	Total deductions. Add lines 12 through 26	1 -	. > 27	(
	28	Taxable income before net operating loss deduction and special deductions. Subtract line 27 from line 11		28	557,034
	29a	Net operating loss deduction (see instructions)			
	b	Special deductions (Schedule C, line 20)			
	С	Add lines 29a and 29b		290	
	30	Taxable income. Subtract line 29c from line 28 (see instructions)			557,034
	31	Total tax (Schedule J, Part I, line 11)		. 31	
leng	32	Total payments and refundable credits (Schedule J, Part II, line 21)		. 32	The state of the s
ayn	33	Estimated tax penalty (see instructions). Check if Form 2220 is attached	▶	33	
and Payments	34	Amount owed. If line 32 is smaller than the total of lines 31 and 33, enter amount owed	8 3	. 34	-
a	35	Overpayment. If line 32 is larger than the total of lines 31 and 33, enter amount overpaid.		35	
	36		funde	-	
_	T	Under penalties of perjury. I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of re-			inf it is true correct
~	n	and complete. Declaration of preparer (other than taxpayer) is based on all information of which preparer has any knowledge.	1	promotion seems	, and a size, world Gill,
300	1.4	1		May the IR	S discuss this return v
er	re	Signature of officer Date Title	-	the prepare instruction	er shown below (see
_				mad detion:	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAME
		Print/Type preparer's name Preparer's signature Date	Ch	neck []	IF PTIN
aic			127 STOR	If-employed	
re	pare		Firm's I	The second second second	
se	Onl	y	Phone	no.	\$
		State AZ	ZIP con	And the second	

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS December 31, 2013

NET SALES	\$ 876,420 Gross
COST OF SALES	<u>319,386</u> COGS
GROSS PROFIT	557,034 Taxable Income
OPERATING EXPENSES	
Consulting	442,331
Contract labor	417,204
Occupancy	102,343
Depreciation	73,147
Interest expense	34,637
Advertising and promotion	32,253
Management fees (See Note 5)	29,263
Computer and internet	10,592
Utilities	9,886
License and permits	7,739
Insurance	6,885
Repairs and maintenance	4,522
Bank fees	3,985
Telephone	3,760
Office supplies	3,441
Research and development	855
Travel	557
Security	448
Meals and entertainment	299
Printing	107
Allocation to inventory	(316,391)
Total Operating Expenses	867,863 Non-Deductible
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(310,829)
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(189,781) Taxes Paid
(Decrease) in Net Assets	(500,610) Total loss
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	(337,418)
NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR	\$ (838,028)